

STUDENT AND ACADEMIC SERVICES

MODULE SPECIFICATION

Part 1: Basic Data							
Module Title	Philosophical A	Philosophical Approaches to Art					
Module Code	UZRRVV-15-2		Level	5	Version	3	
UWE Credit Rating	15	ECTS Credit Rating	7.5	WBL module? No			
Owning Faculty	Health and App	lied Sciences	Field	Philosophy			
Department	Health and Soc	ial Sciences	Module Type	Standard			
Contributes towards	Awards up to BA (Hons) Philosophy						
Pre-requisites	None		Co- requisites	None			
Excluded Combinations	None		Module Entry requirements	None			
For implementation from	September 202	0					

	Part 2: Learning and Teaching
Learning Outcomes	 On successful completion of this module students will be able to: Demonstrate an understanding of the nature of aesthetic experience and the philosophical questions it raises (assessed at all assessment points). Demonstrate knowledge of debates in the history of philosophy concerning art and aesthetic experience at an appropriate level (assessed at all assessment points). Demonstrate a philosophical understanding of the interconnections between aesthetics, epistemology and metaphysics (assessed at all assessment points). Demonstrate skills appropriate to level 5 in reconstructing and assessing philosophical arguments (assessed at all assessment points). Demonstrate the development of transferable skills in the presentation and analysis of arguments (assessed at all assessment points).
Syllabus Outline	 The module will explore the nature and significance of art and aesthetic experience in both art and nature. The module will address philosophical problems in the philosophy of art and aesthetics, for example: whether there is an objective standard of beauty the relationship between art and ethics the relationship between art and truth the nature of the sublime The module will introduce students to a range of philosophical discussions concerning art and aesthetic experience, allowing them to assess debates

	year by Plato, • The m	ut examples in Aristotle, Kant odule will shov leveloped and	, Hegel, Hume v how discuss	gures in the h e, Nietzsche, H ions in the ph	istory of philc leidegger. ilosophy of a	sophy such as rt and aesthetics
	Generic Graduate Skill Introduced Practiced Eviden					
				troduced	Practiced	Evidenced
		mmunication				
	-	ital Fluency ovative and				
		terprising	\boxtimes		\boxtimes	\boxtimes
		rward Looking	a 🗆			
		otional Intelli	-			
		bally Engage	-			
Contact Hours		irs contact time				
Teaching and Learning Methods	Teaching will be primarily via weekly lectures and seminars. Lectures will be used to introduce key philosophical texts in the philosophy of art and aesthetics and the problems they address. Seminars will be used to examine texts closely and discuss the arguments they contain. Seminars will allow students to develop their own					
	 arguments and critical skills. Preparation for seminars and assessments will be supported by virtual learning environment sessions, or essay supervision and revision sessions made available to the students. Students will be expected to prepare for lectures and seminars by doing the essential reading. They will also be expected to do further reading on their chosen topics to prepare for both assessment components, plan time for writing their essay for component B and revise for component A. They will be encouraged to prepare an essay plan for discussion for component B. Scheduled learning includes lectures and seminars. Independent learning includes hours engaged with essential reading, assignment 					
	 preparation and completion etc. These sessions constitute an average time per level as indicated in the table below. Key Information Sets (KIS) are produced at programme level for all programmes that this module contributes to, which is a requirement set by HESA/HEFCE. KIS are comparable sets of standardised information about undergraduate courses allowing prospective students to compare and contrast between programmes they are interested in applying for. 					
Key Information Sets Information	as indicated ir Key Information this module cor comparable set prospective stu	n Sets (KIS) an htributes to, wh is of standardis dents to comp	ow. e produced at lich is a require sed information	ement set by n about under	HESA/HEFC	ogrammes that E. KIS are Irses allowing
	as indicated in Key Information this module con comparable set prospective stu- interested in ap	n Sets (KIS) an htributes to, wh is of standardis dents to comp	ow. e produced at lich is a require sed information are and contra	ement set by n about under	HESA/HEFC	ogrammes that E. KIS are Irses allowing
	as indicated ir Key Information this module cor comparable set prospective stu- interested in ap	n Sets (KIS) an intributes to, wh is of standardis dents to comp iplying for.	ow. e produced at iich is a requin sed information are and contra ule data	ement set by n about under	HESA/HEFC	ogrammes that E. KIS are urses allowing ney are
	as indicated ir Key Information this module cor comparable set prospective stu- interested in ap	n Sets (KIS) an htributes to, wh is of standardis dents to compa- plying for. ation Set - Mode redits for this me	ow. e produced at iich is a requin sed information are and contra ule data	ement set by n about under	HESA/HEFC graduate cou rogrammes th	ogrammes that E. KIS are urses allowing ney are

	The table below indicates as a percentage the total assessment of the module which constitutes a - Written Exam : Unseen written exam, open book written exam, In-class test Coursework : Written assignment or essay, report, dissertation, portfolio, project Practical Exam : Oral Assessment and/or presentation, practical skills assessment, practical exam						
	Please note that this is the total of various types of assessment and will not necessarily reflect the component and module weightings in the Assessment section of this module description:						
		Total assessment of the module:					
		Written exam assessment percentage 50%					
		Coursework assessment percentage 50%					
		Practical exam assessment percentage 0%					
		100%					
Indicative Reading List	https://rl.talis.com/3/uwe/lists/8C0A26EC-F2B5-9EAD-AC9D- AA7C77DAFD83.html?lang=en-GB&login=1						

Part 3: Assessment						
Assessment Strategy	Assessment will be weighted equally between the coursework and exam.					
	The essay will give students the opportunity to provide an in depth analysis of a chosen topic, supported by a range of reading. Students will be provided with a range of suggested essay questions, designed to invite critical engagement with the topic, but may be allowed to provide their own question engaging with course material, if they seek approval in advance. In their essays students will be expected to read beyond the essential seminar reading and demonstrate knowledge of a range of viewpoints as well as presenting their own sustained argument. Students will be encouraged to select their topic well in advance of the deadline and discuss their proposed reading list with their seminar leader. They will be encouraged to submit an essay plan for review and discussion to ensure both appropriate content and structure for a level two, philosophy essay.					
	In the exam (unseen, 1 hour) students will be required to answer one question. The exam offers a chance for them to focus on their skills in critical analysis and argument presentation. They will be offered between five and ten questions to choose from. Seminars will include an opportunity to discuss how to approach example questions within the time frame of the exam. Revision sessions will allow students to ask questions on their chosen revision topics and go over key course material.					
Both essay based exam questions and the coursework essay will allow students to demonstrate all learning outcomes.						
Identify final assessment component and element Component A						
% weighting between cor	nponents A and B (Star	ndard modules only)	A: 50%	B: 50%		

First Sit	
Component A (controlled conditions)	Element weighting
Description of each element	(as % of component)
1. Unseen Exam (1 Hour)	100%
Component B Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)
1. Essay (2000 words)	100%

Resit (further attendance at taught classes is not required)	
Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)
1. Unseen Exam (1 Hour)	100%
Component B Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)
1. Essay (2000 words)	100%

If a student is permitted a retake of the module under the University Regulations and Procedures, the assessment will be that indicated by the Module Description at the time that retake commences.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

First ASQC Appr Date	roval	3/2/2015			
Revision ASQC Approval Date Update this	16 Jan 2	2019	Version	2	<u>RIA 12753</u>
row each time a change goes to CAP	15 Janua 2020	ary		3	