



## **Module Specification**

### **Law and Expert Evidence**

Version: 2024-25, v1.0, 27 Feb 2024

#### **Contents**

<b>Module Specification .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Part 1: Information .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Part 2: Description .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Part 3: Teaching and learning methods .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Part 4: Assessment.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Part 5: Contributes towards .....</b>	<b>8</b>

## Part 1: Information

**Module title:** Law and Expert Evidence

**Module code:** USSUJD-30-2

**Level:** Level 5

**For implementation from:** 2024-25

**UWE credit rating:** 30

**ECTS credit rating:** 15

**College:** College of Health, Science & Society

**School:** CHSS School of Applied Sciences

**Partner institutions:** None

**Field:** Applied Sciences

**Module type:** Module

**Pre-requisites:** None

**Excluded combinations:** None

**Co-requisites:** None

**Continuing professional development:** No

**Professional, statutory or regulatory body requirements:** None

## Part 2: Description

**Overview:** Not applicable

**Features:** Not applicable

**Educational aims:** See Learning Outcomes

**Outline syllabus:** All aspects of the syllabus will be taught in a manner appropriate and specific to the subject-specialisms within the relevant degree programme. This will be achieved by a teaching style utilising subject-specific scientific and legal

practice perspectives to illustrate the core legal and scientific materials and issues.

**Introduction to law:** An introduction to legal language and the common law system, explanation of the way in which cases are cited, the distinction between civil and criminal law, an introduction to the court structure and sources of law. Primary and secondary sources of law are explained and distinguished.

**The Criminal and Civil Justice Systems:** A critical explanation of the concept of justice in the criminal and civil systems. A critical evaluation of the use of science and experts in this process and an overview of relevant points of theoretical and evaluative critique of the process of justice in both systems and whether 'justice' can be said to be achieved. Introduction to the issues that have been identified in case law with expert evidence and the involvement of the LC.

**The Expert in the Criminal and Civil Justice Systems:** A detailed, critical examination of the modern criminal and civil justice system, and the significance of their adversarial nature. This includes tracing its development and tracing the use of experts through this development. Equity and common law civil and criminal remedies are explained and analysed in the context of the concept of 'justice'. Plurality of meaning and viewpoints in relation to the nature, meaning and use of experts is introduced.

**The Expert Giving Evidence in Court:** Includes a critical introduction to the use of forensic expert evidence in the criminal justice system and a comparative analysis of forensic and non-forensic expert evidence, and its use in the adversarial system. It also includes explanation of the interface between criminal and civil legal process and how the use of expert evidence may be used by either or both. The course leads on to discussion of the factors driving criminal prosecution and civil litigation including public policy issues particularly as they relate to the use of experts and finishes with a critical evaluation of the Law Commission Review and recommendations into the use of expert evidence in the criminal justice system and the recommendations for change.

**Specific Issues relating to Expert Evidence:** The content of this part of the course

may change from year to year to ensure material is topical and relevant to forensic students. The issues envisaged are those raised in the LCR, miscarriages of justice involving expert evidence, topical issues as they arise involving complex issues of scientific methodology in the context of expert evidence, expert evidence and moral considerations, the points of difference and similarities between the concerns of the legal and scientific communities in relation to the use of expert evidence in the civil and criminal UK justice systems.

**The Context, Methodology and Impact of Expert Evidence:** This element enables science students to place into context the relevance and importance of expert evidence and its particular role in relation to miscarriages of justice. The impact and process of resolving miscarriages of justice is considered including contextual evaluation and consideration of the social, political, ethical, scientific and legal considerations in relation to problematic types of expert evidence and their presentation in court. Issues of methodology and consequences of expert evidence involving uncertain methodologies are considered from a scientific and legal perspective.

### **Part 3: Teaching and learning methods**

**Teaching and learning methods:** Module delivery will be based on a combination of weekly two hour lectures, two hour workshops, student demand led support sessions, and online support. The ratio of lectures to workshops may alter within the constraints of minimum contact hours to best suit the needs of the students.

Teaching will be delivered by two hour lectures, two hour workshop sessions and individual student support sessions. In the lectures, emphasis is placed on providing the student with the opportunities to question, understand, analyse and evaluate the law and associated issues in their historical, practical, academic and social contexts. In addition there may be multiple choice tests, with answers via Blackboard to support student learning and to provide regular feedback. Clicker tests may also be used during lectures as a means of formative assessment.

It is not necessarily envisaged that every topic in the syllabus will be covered in any one academic year but the selection will give students a necessary and relevant overview of the most pertinent issues in any year which may reflect the changing legal environment in which context the course is delivered.

Lectures and workshops are designed to communicate the principal features of a topic, including its major principles, rules, concepts, relationships and values; to stimulate interest in the topic and provide student discussion of alternative views; and to provide other information necessary to facilitate its further study, including updating materials on a regular basis.

In most cases there is no expectation of in-depth preliminary work for lectures, although students may be given directed preliminary reading from recommended texts. From time to time, material may be also given out in advance so as to provide the basis for detailed discussion of topics in workshops.

Attendance at a Crown Court and Magistrates Court forms the independent learning component of this module. Students will be expected to pursue independent and directed study between class contact sessions. Students will be encouraged regularly to attend court and to engage with current legal and forensic related news items.

There is a scheduled taught research skills session which introduces non-law students to the availability of online and paper law resources, including instruction on how to access and work with these resources. Access to a series of online tutorials and tests to informally practice and assess competence in this area is provided.

**Module Learning outcomes:** On successful completion of this module students will achieve the following learning outcomes.

**MO1** Understand the UK's civil and criminal justice systems including what constitutes legal authority, to undertake basic legal analysis of those authorities; and to be able to distinguish between the different processes and requirements of civil and criminal litigation procedures

**MO2** Understand the roles undertaken by forensic experts and the relevance of scientific issues to the justice system, with critical evaluation of the key arguments and issues in relation to the role of the expert witness at each stage of the criminal and civil litigation process using discipline-specific examples

**MO3** Understand issues of scientific methodology relevant to expert evidence, identifying when these methods of analysis are appropriate and to understand the legal, scientific and contextual aspects of the use of expert evidence in court including issues of methodology and the impact of these issues on the justice systems

**MO4** Critically evaluate the role of the expert in these contexts via evaluation of the legal and scientific framework controlling the use of expert evidence and the process that occurs in identifying and addressing a miscarriage of justice and/or a successful appeal and to critically evaluate whether the justice system deals effectively with such situations

**MO5** Critically evaluate and synthesise legal and scientific material in a manner that explains the points of similarity and difference in relation to how these types of material are understood by the legal and scientific communities respectively

**Hours to be allocated:** 300

**Contact hours:**

Independent study/self-guided study = 228 hours

Face-to-face learning = 72 hours

Total = 300

**Reading list:** The reading list for this module can be accessed at [readinglists.uwe.ac.uk](https://uwe.rl.talis.com/modules/ujjujd-30-2.html) via the following link <https://uwe.rl.talis.com/modules/ujjujd-30-2.html>

## **Part 4: Assessment**

**Assessment strategy:** This module will be assessed by the following assessments:

1. Unseen 2 hour exam (40% of the overall module mark)

Online exam to be completed within a 24 hour window. It will include multiple choice questions and a choice of 2 out of 4 short essay questions (up to 1500 words in total)

2. Written assignment (60 % of module mark)

Task 1: A research project investigating an aspect of the use of experts in court (50% of the overall module mark)

Task 2: A report of courtroom scenarios based on live or recorded versions of court proceedings (10% of the overall module mark)

**Assessment tasks:**

**Examination (Online) (First Sit)**

Description: Online examination (MCQs and up to 1500 words)

Weighting: 40 %

Final assessment: No

Group work: No

Learning outcomes tested: MO1, MO2, MO3, MO5

**Project (First Sit)**

Description: Research project (maximum 3,000 words excl. footnotes)

Weighting: 50 %

Final assessment: No

Group work: No

Learning outcomes tested: MO1, MO2, MO3, MO4, MO5

**Report (First Sit)**

Description: Court Report (1000 words)

Weighting: 10 %

Final assessment: Yes

Group work: No

Learning outcomes tested: MO1, MO2, MO3, MO4, MO5

**Examination (Online) (Resit)**

Description: Online examination (MCQs and up to 1500 words)

Weighting: 40 %

Final assessment: No

Group work: No

Learning outcomes tested: MO1, MO2, MO3, MO5

**Project (Resit)**

Description: Research project (maximum 3,000 words excl. footnotes)

Weighting: 50 %

Final assessment: No

Group work: No

Learning outcomes tested: MO1, MO2, MO3, MO4, MO5

**Report (Resit)**

Description: Court Report (1000 words)

Weighting: 10 %

Final assessment: Yes

Group work: No

Learning outcomes tested: MO1, MO2, MO3, MO4, MO5

**Part 5: Contributes towards**

This module contributes towards the following programmes of study:

Forensic Science [Frenchay] MSci 2023-24

Forensic Science [Frenchay] BSc (Hons) 2023-24

Forensic Science {Foundation} [Frenchay] BSc (Hons) 2022-23

Forensic Science {Foundation} [Frenchay] MSci 2022-23