

ACADEMIC SERVICES

MODULE SPECIFICATION

		Part 1: Basi	c Data			
Module Title	Comparative Po	litics				
Module Code	UZQNHG-30-2		Level	2	Version	2
Owning Faculty	Health and Applied Sciences		Field	Politics		
Contributes towards	BA (Hons) Awar	BA (Hons) Awards in Politics and International Relations				
UWE Credit Rating	30	ECTS Credit Rating	15	Module Type	Standard	
Pre-requisites	None		Co- requisites	None		
Excluded Combinations	None		Module Entry requirements	Stand alone		
Valid From	September 2014		Valid to	September 2020		

CAP Approval Date	28/03/2014
-------------------	------------

	Part 2: Learning and Teaching
Learning Outcomes	 On successful completion of this module students be able to: 1. Understand and explain the value of the comparative method in political analysis (all assessments) 2. Account for the different routes to democratization (Component A) 3. Understand the role of institutions, political processes and policy outcomes in different countries (all assessments) 4. Complete an essay that considers a course theme in a comparative context, e.g. the comparison of countries (essay) 5. Make an effective oral presentation (Presentation)
Syllabus Outline	 The Course will incorporate at least some of the following: The purpose and importance of comparative politics. Political concepts and theoretical approaches. The module will draw upon a number of themes for comparison, which include some of the following; democratization, elections and political parties: political participation and representation; political communication; political culture; legislatures; government formation; interest groups; public policy; global political economy; territorial and regional issues.
Contact Hours	72 hours – these will include 3 contact hours per week: lecture (1 hour); seminar (1 hour); virtual learning environment (discussion board)/workshop (1 hour)
Teaching and Learning Methods	The module combines lectures, seminars and independent study. Lectures establish the structure of the course, provide a survey of the comparative themes, and highlight important issues for debate and further discussion. Seminars draw on a variety of teaching/learning approaches (for example student presentations, small group work and general class discussion) to examine the topics highlighted in lectures in greater depth. Workshops or a virtual discussion board will be used to facilitate discussion and group

	interac	tion on cor	temporary pol	icv issues. util	isina current i	nformation a	nd materials.
				•	C		
			expected to do asses and asso		ours of indepe	endent learni	ng, including
Key Information Sets Information	module sets of studen for. Further require	e contribute standardis ts to comp r detail on l ments can	es to, which is ed information are and contra Key Informatio be found at	a requirement about underg st between pro n Sets and ho	set by HESA iraduate cours ogrammes the w the Univers	HEFCE. Kisses allowing by are interes	sted in applying
		Hours to be allocated	Scheduled learning and teaching study hours	Independent study hours	Placement study hours	Allocated Hours	
		300	72	228	0	300	
		_					
		Т	otal assessment o	of the module:			
		v	Vritten exam asse	essment percenta	ge	30%	
		c	oursework assess	sment percentage	9	70%	
		Р	ractical exam ass	essment percenta	age	0%	
						100%	
Reading Strategy	conce be pr provic recom Many electro	entrate usir ovided ele le an exten nmended te of the re	ng guided read ectronically or nsive guide to extbook(s). Wh ecommended j	ing of academ as printed st relevant read nere possible l journal source	nic materials. Tudy packs. Ting. Students Rey sources we as are availa	Some essen The module will be advi vill be provide ble in both	they choose to tial reading will handbook will ised to buy the ed via e-books. hardcopy and purces prior to
Indicative Reading List			Harrop, M. (Macmillan.	2013) Compa	arative Gover	rnment and	Politics. 9th ed.
			Pennington, tems., London:		9) Compara	ntive Politics	s: Explaining
	Burnh Macrr		al. (2008) <i>Rese</i>	earch Methods	s in Politics. 2	nd ed. Londor	n: Palgrave
			8) <i>Citizen Polit</i> cracies., 5th ed				in Advanced
			1. Wattenberg, nced Industria				
		ll, D. (2001 ave Macmi) <i>Electoral Sys</i> llan.	stems: A Com	parative Introd	duction. Lon	don:

Newton, K & Van Deth, J. (2011) <i>Foundations of Comparative Politics: Democracies of the Modern World.</i> 2 nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
Relevant journals include: American Political Science Review, Comparative Political Studies, Comparative Politics, Democratization, Journal of Democracy, Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties, Party Politics, West European Politics

	Part 3: Assessment
Assessment Strategy	1. Seminar Portfolio
	This piece of assessment requires a student to write short synopses (typically three of 350-400 words each) about topics covered in seminars and lectures, based on discussions in seminars (typically but not exclusively through group debate) and reflecting the reading done in advance of the classes.
	This assessment is designed provide students with the opportunity to develop their skills in gathering information and presenting it in summary form, as well as ensure engagement with some core issues covered in the module.
	2. Individual Presentation
	An oral presentation of around 10 minutes will be prepared and delivered on one of the main topics covered in the module. A schedule of topics, dates and presenters will be agreed in advance, allowing plenty of time for preparation. The assessment will provide students with an opportunity to further develop their skills in preparing material for presentation (e.g. using power-point slides) and in delivering academic content in a coherent way under time constraints.
	3. Essay
	This assessment requires students to write an essay (1500 words) on any aspect of the issues covered in the module, incorporating comparative political analysis (e.g. by comparing different countries). A list of essay titles will be provided but students will also be able to rework these to reflect their own specific interests (subject to approval of the course team). The essay should include an account of developments in the chosen area of comparative politics and present a coherent academic analysis, supported by appropriate references and a bibliography. Essays should link empirical developments with theoretical and explanatory perspectives. The assessment will provide students with an opportunity to develop their skills in advancing a clear and persuasive argument, using comparative academic analysis.
	4. Seen Exam
	This piece of assessment will require a student to answer two exam questions in two hours, with the questions provided in advance. The exam is designed to test both students' knowledge regarding the topics examined in this module and their essay-writing abilities. The exam is designed to assess students' ability to offer clearly written and expressed critical analysis under specific time constraints.

Identify final assessment component and element	am (Component A)
% weighting between components A and B (Standard modules only)	A: B: 30% 70%
First Sit	
Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)
1. Seen Examination (2 hours)	100%
Component B Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)
1. Seminar portfolio (1200 words)	30%
2. Presentation (in-class)	20%
3. Essay (1500 words)	50%

Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)
1. Seen examination (2 hours)	100%
Component B Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)
1. Essay (3000 words)	100%

If a student is permitted an **EXCEPTIONAL RETAKE** of the module the assessment will be that indicated by the Module Description at the time that retake commences.