

MODULE SPECIFICATION

Part 1: Information					
Module Title	Civil Advocacy				
Module Code	UJXTSL-10-M	Level	M		
For implementation from	September 2020				
UWE Credit Rating	10	ECTS Credit Rating	5		
Faculty	FBL	Field	Law non-modular		
Department	LAW				
Contributes towards	LLM Bar Professional Training Studies PG Dip Bar Professional Training Course				
Module type:	Professional Practice				
Pre-requisites					
Excluded Combinations					
Co- requisites					
Module Entry requirements	If this is studied within the part 1/ part 2 mode of the Bar Professional Training Course, part 1 (knowledge) will have to have been completed.				

Part 2: Description

The purpose of the module is to provide teaching and practical experience to enable students to satisfy the PSRB requirements for Civil Advocacy within the wider skills requirement of 'Advocacy' on the BPTC. On the Civil Advocacy course students will develop and extend their skills in both oral advocacy – submissions and arguments in court - and 'written advocacy' in the form of a skeleton argument. The module is closely integrated with the civil litigation syllabus.

The module uses interim applications throughout, all of which are opposed but some are contested by a peer playing the part of the opponent and in some students will make submissions during a 'one to one' courtroom session in front of the 'Judge'.

Students will undertake dynamic factual and legal analysis prior to taking part in role play exercises in order to make or oppose written and oral interim applications in either the County Court or the High Court context. These sessions will be conducted in a courtroom. The tutor will offer students oral and written feedback in all advocacy sessions, the written feedback will be wholly referable to the assessment criteria. Sessions are recorded on Panopto to enable students to review and learn from the exercises.

Part 3: Assessment

There is one assessment and it will be an opposed application before a judge for which students will have received a brief in advance.

A robust process of moderation ensures that students are consistently graded across the cohort. Similarly this ensures that transgression of the assessment regulations are identified as the written work in the skeleton arguments is carefully scrutinised.							
Identify final timetabled (component and eleme	ponent A						
% weighting between components A and B (Standard modules only)					B:		
% weighting between	1	00 %					
			·				
First Sit							
Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element					Element weighting		
Oral submissio	Oral submission on an interim application, supported by a skeleton argument						
Component B Description of each element					Element weighting		
1. N/A							
Resit (further attenda	nce at taught classes is not requ	uired)					
Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element Element weighting							
Oral submission on an interim application, supported by a skeleton argument.				100 %			
Component B Description of each e	Component B Description of each element Element weighting				eighting		
1. N/A							
	Part 4: Learning Ou	utcomes & KIS Data					
Learning Outcomes	On successful completion of this	module Students will be at	ole to:				
	Analyse a variety of civil disputes and prepare appropriate documentation for court including an effective skeleton argument						
	Demonstrate professional behaviour and ethical conduct						
	Persuade by means of fluent and articulate advocacy						
	Create cogent and persuasive submissions						
Key Information Sets Information (KIS)	Key Information Set - Mod	ule data					
miormation (rao)	Number of credits for this module 10						
	Hours to be Scheduled	Independent Placement	Allocated				
	allocated learning and	study hours study hours	Hours				
Contact Hours	teaching study hours						
	100 44	56 0	100				

	The table below indicates as a percentage the total assessment of the module which constitutes a;					
	Written Exam: Unseen or open book written exam Coursework: Written assignment or essay, report, dissertation, portfolio, project or in class test Practical Exam: Oral Assessment and/or presentation, practical skills assessment, practical exam (i.e. an exam determining mastery of a technique)					
	Total assessment of the module:					
	Written exam assessment percentage 0%					
	Coursework assessment percentage 0%					
Total Assessment	Practical exam assessment percentage 100%					
Total Assessment	100%					
Reading List	Volume 1 and Volume 2 and the Autumn Supplement of Civil Procedure (the White Book); Sweet and Maxwell Adversers Manual, the City University, OLIR (pessibly 20th ad)					
	Advocacy Manual, the City University. OUP (possibly 20 th ed)					

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

First Approval Date (and panel type)	SUVP 24 July 2019)	link to RI	<u>A</u>
Revision ASQC Approval Date		Version	2	Link to RIA