

MODULE SPECIFICATION

Part 1: Information						
Module Title	Soul, Mind, Nature					
Module Code	UZRY	′8V-15-3	Level	3		
For implementation from	September 2019					
UWE Credit Rating	15		ECTS Credit Rating	7.5		
Faculty	Health and Applied Science		Field	Philosophy		
Department	Health and Social Science					
Contributes towards	Philosophy, Optional					
Module type:	Standard					
Pre-requisites		None				
Excluded Combinations		None				
Co- requisites		None				
Module Entry requirements		None				

Part 2: Description

This module is a study of broadly naturalist approaches to soul and mind in the history of philosophy.

The core problematic of contemporary Anglo-American philosophy of mind positions the problem of 'consciousness' as the 'hard problem' (David Chalmers). This positioning is derived, this module argues, from the founding Cartesian premise of modern philosophy – that mind is not, in fact, a part of nature – so how can it ever be brought within the compass of the natural sciences.

In contrast, a whole alternative tradition exists in which soul and/or mind are understood to be integral aspects of nature. This module examines that, very diverse, tradition. Examples of thinkers and themes to be studied include:

Ancient conceptions of an ensouled nature. For example Aristotle's conception of the soul as the form of the living, and Plotinus's conception of intellect, soul and material body as continuous emanation.

Monist and/or panpsychist conceptions of mind in nature. For example Spinoza's God or Nature, and Hegel's Absolute Idea.

Process philosophies in which mind is immanent to the fabric of events (rather than substances) that make up the world. For example the work of Alfred North Whitehead and Susanne Langer.

Freudian and neo-Freudian theory, in which mind is understood to stretch beyond consciousness, and to have its roots firmly in ancient animal instincts and drives.

Enactivism, in which mind/cognition, and its phenomenology, is understood to be identical with the internal modulations of a self-organising and autopoietic system – responding to its environment (Humberto Maturana and Francisco Varela, Evan Thompson etc.)

There are also some contemporary thinkers who present a kind of naturalism that draws back from acknowledging the immanence of mind to nature, and instead engages in a kind of 'elimination' of the problem of mind by other means. These would include for example:

Neurophilosophy/eliminative-materialism, in which mind is understood to be a mis-description of brain process (Paul and Patricia Churchland, Daniel Dennett etc).

Extended mind theory, in which mind is understood to be identical with brain processes, in conjunction with an environment to which the brain is always attached (Andy Clarke etc.).

These may be looked at in order to explore the extent of their compatibility with fully naturalistic accounts of mind (or ensouled accounts of nature) such as those outlined above.

Part 3: Assessment: Strategy and Details

This module will be assessed by a 2000 word essay and one hour examination. The assessment profile at Level 3 of the programme now includes a wide array of forms of assessment. It is important to retain some essay and exam based assessment for reasons of inclusivity. Discussion with students has also indicated that they want this. The content of this module particularly lends itself to more traditional forms of assessment.

Identify final timetabled piece of assessment (component and element)		Component A			
% weighting between components A and B (Standard r	A: 50%	B: 50%			
First Sit		·	·		
Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element			t weighting component)		
1. One hour exam		1	100%		
Component B Description of each element			t weighting component)		
1. Essay (2000 words)	1	100%			
Resit (further attendance at taught classes is not requ Component A (controlled conditions)	ired)		t weighting		
Description of each element		(as % of	component)		
1. One hour exam		10	0%		
Component B Description of each element			t weighting component)		
1. Essay (2000 words)		10	0%		

		Part	4: Learning (Outcomes & P	(IS Data			
Learning Outcomes	On successful completion of this module students will be able to:							
	 Demonstrate knowledge of naturalistic philosophies of soul, mind and nature (Component A and B) 							
	 Be able to discuss and assess the merits of different interpretations of primary texts and debates in the secondary literature (Component A and B, particularly component B) 							
	•	Demonstrate the ability to pursue independent research (Component B)						
	 Be able to think through the broad philosophical implications of naturalistic philosophies of soul, mind and nature (Component A and B) 							
	 critically engage with arguments or theories of particular philosophers and writers in this area (Component A and B) succinctly and clearly develop a philosophical argument (Component A) 							
Key Information Sets Information (KIS)	Further detail on Key Information Sets and how the University is implementing its requirements can be found here .							
		Key Inform	nation Set - Mo	odule data				
		Numbero	f credits for this	s module		15		
		Hours to be allocated	Scheduled learning and teaching study hours	Independent study hours	Placement study hours	Allocated Hours		
Contact Hours		150	36	114		150	0	
	The table below indicates as a percentage the total assessment of the module which constitutes a; Written Exam: Unseen or open book written exam Coursework: Written assignment or essay, report, dissertation, portfolio, project or in class test Practical Exam: Oral Assessment and/or presentation, practical skills assessment, practical exam (i.e. an exam determining mastery of a technique)							
			Total assessm	nent of the mod	dule:			
Total Assessment		Written exam assessment percentage 50%						
	Coursework assessment percentage					50%		
	Practical exam assessment percentage 0%							
	100%							
Reading List			3/uwe/lists/388	3C65BC-27A1	-6FDE-FD35-I	F8E33E532	OA7.html?la	ng=en-
	<u>US&log</u>	<u>in=1</u>						

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

First ASQC Approval Date	16 Jan 2019				
Revision CAP Approval Date Update this row each time a change goes to CAP		Version	1	RIA 12753	