

MODULE SPECIFICATION

Part 1: Information						
Module Title	Nature and Use of Researc	lature and Use of Research (Criminology)				
Module Code	UZSY65-15-2	SY65-15-2 Level 2				
For implementation from	September 2018	ember 2018				
UWE Credit Rating	15	ECTS Credit Rating	7.5			
Faculty	Health and Applied Sciences	Field	Sociology & Criminology			
Department	Health and Social Sciences					
Contributes towards	BA (Hons) Criminology, BA (Hons) Criminology (with Foundation Year) BA (Hons) Criminology and Sociology, BA (Hons) Criminology and Sociology (with Foundation Year) BA (Hons) Criminology and Law, BA (Hons) Criminology and Law (and Foundation Year) BSc (Hons) Criminology with Psychology, BSc (Hons) Criminology with Psychology (with Foundation Year)					
Module type:	Standard					
Pre-requisites	None	None				
Excluded Combinations	None	None				
Co- requisites	None	None				
Module Entry requireme	nts None	None				

Part 2: Description

Content of the module will be congruent with disciplinary requirements and programme learning outcomes. Topics may include some of the following indicative areas, as appropriate:

- the nature and impact of ethics and governance processes upon the generation of knowledge research in their subject area
- formulating research questions and using appropriate research design and data collection skills.
- evaluating evidence of diverse kinds and drawing appropriate conclusions
- managing and analysing data including indexing and retrieval of qualitative data, and use of basic statistics.
- philosophical argument
- philosophy and the limits of knowledge
- research design in the social sciences including hypothetico-deductivism; exploratory research; case studies; evaluation research; action research; comparative research; negotiating access; managing field work; reflexivity and the use of self in research
- the philosophy, sociology and politics of social research including positivism versus interpretivism; anti-

discriminatory research; participative methods; ethics, privacy and confidentiality; legal issues; context and uses of social research; and working with marginalised and 'hard to reach' groups.

Approach

An aspiration of this module is that students become practiced at utilizing TEL, in particular through use of common online resources (on topics such as good ethical practice, developing research questions, writing research proposals) developed by the module team, but also through the creation, dissemination and evaluation of audio/visual narrated presentation software presentations. These will be uploaded to create a "virtual conference" with contributions by all students engaged in the module, and accessible to all students engaged in the module. An evaluation of multidisciplinary contributions to this conference will enable students to better position themselves and their own discipline in relation to other traditions of knowledge and scholarship.

In seminars, students will engage in facilitated activities such as debates, problem based learning, group working, research etc.

Integral to this programme is the use of subject based as well as generic use of technologies. For instance, data analysis and modelling, are subject areas reliant on a range of contemporary technologies using public domain data sources such as Home Office crime statistics, World Health Organisation Databases.

In addition modern technologies may be incorporated by programme teams delivering the module as vehicles of learning (e.g. blogs,podcasts) and as vehicles for learning through formative and/or summative assessment (e.g. online portfolios, online tests, wikis, etc.)

The pattern of seminar delivery will vary according to the programmes the module is serving. The seminar schedule will be set and taught within programme teams, overseen by a single module leader.

For each contact hour, students will spend approximately 3 hours in independent study, including preparing their assessed portfolio.

Part 3: Assessment

Students are assessed summatively through an e-portfolio. Formative assessment will be undertaken within seminar sessions, and using techniques from a range of online approaches including quizzes, wikis, and online discussion.

The research proposal (component B) will prepare students for a final year project, and reflect a synthesis of relevant syllabus content, and be written for subject specialists.

Component A, the narrated e-presentation will demonstrate students' ability to communicate their ideas for the proposal to a lay audience using a combination of subject specific and transferable skills. These presentations will be curated to create an annual e-conference, as a resource for component A, but also as a cumulative learning resource for future iterations of the module. Presentation style will be an extended "PechaKucha" approach (cf. http://www.pechakucha.org/) in which a fixed number of slides, with a fixed time limit per slide forces a focused, dynamic presentation (Levin, 2013). This will serve as the controlled conditions component of the module.

Levin, Michael A., and Lori T. Peterson (2013), "Use of Pecha Kucha in Marketing Students' Presentations," Marketing Education Review, 23 (1), 59-64.

Identify final timetabled piece of assessment (component and element)	Component B		
% weighting between components A and B (Standard modules only)			B: 75

First Sit

ACADEMIC SERVICES 2016-17

Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element						Element weighting (as % of component)
E-portfolio part 1: Presentation of research proposal for a lay audience using presentation software with audio/video narration. (10 minutes)					100	
Component B Description of each element					Element weighting (as % of component)	
1. E-portfolio part 2: F	Research pro	posal (1500 v	words)			100
Resit (further attende	lance at tauç	ght classes i	s not require	d)		
Component A (contr Description of each		ins)				Element weighting (as % of component)
1. E-portfolio part 1: F					sing	100
Component B Description of each	element					Element weighting (as % of component)
1. E-portfolio part 2: F	Research pro	posal (1500 v	words)			100
		Part 4: Tea	aching and Le	earning Meth	ods	
Learning Outcomes	On successful completion of this module students will be able to: 1) formulate research questions appropriate to their discipline (component B) 2) understand and engage in the processes through which knowledge is generated and claims to truth are evaluated in their subject area (component B) 3) communicate information from their discipline to members of the public and to academics in different disciplines (component A)					
Key Information Sets Information (KIS)		ation Set - Mo			15	
Contact Hours	Hours to be allocated	Scheduled learning and teaching study hours	Independent study hours	Placement study hours	Allocated Hours	
	150	36	114	0	150	
Total Assessment	Written Ex Coursewortest Practical E	a; am : Unseen r k : Written as xam : Oral A	or open book ssignment or e	written exam essay, report, o	dissertation, p	of the module which cortfolio, project or in class skills assessment,

ACADLIVIIC SLIV	/ICLS			2010-17		
	Total asse	essment of the m	nodule:			
	Written ex	Written exam assessment percentage				
	Coursewo	ork assessment	75%			
	Practical 6	exam assessme	nt percentage	25%		
				100%		
Reading List	https://uwe.rl.talis.com/lists	/C0617FCD-B97	71-CE44-B2C3-8	BEF1258F2B6.html		
3						
	Indicative texts:					
	Pruman A (2012) Social E	Pagarah Matha	do Ovford: Ovfor	d University Press		
	Bryman, A. (2012) Social F	kesearch weinoc	is. Oxiola. Oxiol	d Offiversity Fress.		
	Burnham, P., Gilland Lutz, K., Grant W., and Layton-Henry, Z., (2008) Research Methods in Politics. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.					
	Crow, I. Semmens, N. (2008). Researching Criminology. Maidenhead: Open University Press.					
	Duarte, N. (2010) Resonate: Present Visual Stories That Transform Audiences.London: Wiley.					
	Gray, D.E. (2014) Doing Research in the Real World. London: Sage					
	Hague, R., and Harrop, M. (2013) <i>Comparative Government and Politics</i> . Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.					
	Hegel, G. W. F. (2002) <i>Philosophy of Nature</i> , trans. M. J. Petry, London:Routledge.					
	Kant, I. (2007) Critique of Pure Reason, trans. Kemp Smith, Basingstoke: Palgrave					
	Punch, K. (2006) Developing Effective Research Proposals. London: Sage.					
	Robson, C. (2011) Real World Research 3rd Ed: Chichester: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.					
	White, P. (2008) Developing Research Questions: A Guide for Social Scientists Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.					

ACADEMIC SERVICES 2016-17

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

First CAP Approval Date	17/1/201	18		
Revision CAP Approval Date	•	Version	1	RIA 12464