

University of the West of England

MODULE SPECIFICATION

Part 1: Information							
Module Title	Docu	umentary					
Module Code	UALA	US-30-2	Level	2			
For implementation from	Septe	ember 2017					
UWE Credit Rating	30		ECTS Credit Rating	15			
Faculty	ACE		Field	Lens and Moving Image			
Department	Filma	and Journalism					
Contributes towards	BA (H	3A (Hons) Filmmaking (compulsory)					
Module type:	Project						
Pre-requisites		None					
Excluded Combinations		None					
Co- requisites		None					
Module Entry requirements		N/A					

Part 2: Description

The aim of this module is to enable students to build strong understandings of documentary filmmaking through practice. A fundamental underpinning of the module is to provoke students into creatively interpreting the world around them through research based production.

Indicative syllabus

- Historical development of the documentary genre and how it applies to contemporary contexts of production
- Contextual understanding of documentary genre, to include audience, ideology, ethics, and narrative
- Development of an experiential understanding of documentary research methods and to creatively apply findings to developing production work
- What is editorial judgment?
- Access issues and working with contributors and presenters
- How to collate, organise and edit research findings in the form of a pitch, treatments and scripts and a working production folder
- Technical and production skills that can be applied to a range of production situations
- Production management skills, to include team-working, appropriate risk assessment, location filming scheduling and budgeting

Students are challenged to consider different forms of documentary filmmaking while developing their own project with a clear aesthetic approach. Working in small teams, they collaboratively develop documentary ideas and scripts in an agreed form through a period of negotiation with their peers and tutors. They shape the story whilst researching their topic in depth and planning the production, including sourcing potential contributors and agreeing access. In production, they work as small mobile crews, with each student undertaking a 'Head of Department' role as per industry standard.

ACADEMIC SERVICES

Lectures introduce aspects of the syllabus which are expanded on in screenings, seminars and workshops. Technical workshops develop students' skills in editing, camera work, sound recording and other appropriate techniques as outlined in the Module Handbook. In addition, there are group tutorials. A Pitch Presentation roughly halfway through the module enables formative and summative assessment. A final teaching day enables the presentation of completed projects to the whole cohort for the purpose of a live critique in relation to academic theory, industry context and professional practice.

Part 3: Assessment

Assessment strategy

The assessments have been designed to mirror industry standard practice while supporting brave filmmaking and creative problem solving. They are also designed to reward clear professional activity in all areas of production from the early planning stage through to post production. There is also an assessment point midway through the module to ensure that research and creative development are meaningfully engaged with before the production and postproduction processes begin. The assessment strategy also enables the assessment of both individual and group work. It will be possible to weigh the balance of the individual students' effort, independent thinking and research that contributes to the Pitch Presentation and the Final Project via each students' contribution to the supporting written materials and production 'deliverables' pack in each of these elements respectively and this may allow for marks to vary from individual to individual. In addition, The Individual Portfolio may provide further evidence of the individual students' contribution to the Final Project. This strategy ensures a balance between process and product, and also between the crucial elements of teamwork and individual contribution.

Formative assessment

Formative assessment activities that involve students participating in the evaluation of presented work (their own and others') take place throughout the module. All students are expected to contribute to the critical evaluation of fellow students' work. Feedback (verbal and/or in writing) at regular points throughout the module provides students with a clear understanding of their progress and advice about how this can be improved.

Summative assessment

Component A1: Pitch Presentation (20%) Component A2: Final Project (50%) Component A3: Individual Portfolio (30%)

Guidance detailed requirements for each element are fully explained in the Module Handbook. In brief:

- Pitch Presentation (group mark): group presentation in the form of a professional pitch plus appropriate supporting written materials.
- Final Project (group mark): a work in an agreed documentary form demonstrating a high level of creative skill in the team's technical approach to all areas of the production including story-telling, editing, sound design, camera, production design and production management. The film should be supported by a production 'deliverables' pack.
- The Individual Portfolio (individual mark) will consist of a selection of supporting materials such as relevant film research and analysis, research, planning and development appropriate to the student's specific crew role. This will also provide proof of professionalism demonstrated throughout the preproduction, production, and post-production process.

Assessment criteria (as related to learning outcomes)

- **Research and Creative Development:** the level of ability to employ appropriate research as a coherent strategy; the level of creative exploration in the development process (LO1, LO2);
- Audience Engagement and Storytelling: the level of ability to engage the audience in a documentary subject (LO5);
- Craft and Technical Skills: the level of appropriate technical skill evident in the work (LO4);
- **Professional Practice:** the level of effective collaborative team working to achieve all aspects of production and the confident use of transferable and communication skills (LO3, LO7, LO8);
- **Contextual Understanding and Critical Analysis:** the level of contextual awareness that underpins the creative process and evaluation; the level of discriminating editorial judgment evident in the work, critical reflection on the work and individual performance (LO2, LO5, LO6).

Identify final timetabled piece of assessment (component and element)		Component A3		
		A:	B:	
% weighting between components A and B (Standard modules only)				

First Sit							
Component A (contr Description of each		Element weighting (as % of component)					
1. Pitch Presentation							
2. Final Project						50%	
3. Individual Portfolio						30%	
Resit (further attend	lance at taught clas	ses is not re	equired)		·		
Component A (contr Description of each					El (ement we as % of com	ighting ponent)
1. Individually Negoti						100%)
	Part 4	: Teaching	and Learning	Methods			
Kouloferration	 Demonstrate understanding of the documentary genre, including key concepts such as ethics, narrative and audience (A1, A2, A3); Clearly communicate proposed programme ideas by means of a professional pite and supporting documents (A3); Apply appropriate technical and production skills with a clear aesthetic approach documentary filmmaking (A1); Produce engaging, creative documentary work, demonstrating discriminating editorial judgement (A2, A3); Evaluate the development of the overall learning process in relation to documentary production (A3); Demonstrate industry standard professionalism throughout the production proces (A1, A2, A3). 						
Key Information Sets Information (KIS)	Key Information Set - Module data						
Contact Hours	Number of c	Number of credits for this module					_
		Scheduled learning and teaching study hours	Independent study hours	Placement study hours	Allocated Hours		
	300	72	228	0	300		
Total Assessment	The table below ind constitutes a; Written Exam: Uns Coursework: Writt test Practical Exam: O practical exam (i.e.	seen or open en assignme ral Assessmo	book written int or essay, re ent and/or pre	exam eport, disserta sentation, pra	ition, portfoli	o, project c	or in cla

		Total asses	sment of th	e module:				
		Writton ava	m 000000m			0%		
		Written exam assessment percentage0%Coursework assessment percentage100%						
				100%				
		Practical exam assessment percentage						
						100%		
Reading List	http://readinglist Blackboard. Core Reading Brown, B. (2002 <i>cinematographe</i> http://library.uwe Chater, K. (2002 The best guide to Dancyger, K. (24 Edition. Burlingt See in particular on the impact of http://www.griers The Grierson Tr Rogers, H. (201 Especially chapt contemporary at Saunders, D. (21 Accessible sums Ward, P. (2005) Short thought pr Recommended Glynne, A. (2006 Creative Essent The best short in Rabiger, M. (2007 Good all round to Thirkell, R. (2011 <i>and Film.</i> Londo Insider's account Further Readin Aston, J., Gaude Documentary. L Includes chapte live performance Aston, J. (2016) On-line at: http:// why-does-it-mate Using computer Bruzzi, S. (2006)) Cinematog s. uwe.ac.uk) Cinematog rs, directors a.ac.uk/cgi-bi 2) Research o production 006) The Tea on Massach chapter 12, nonlinear ec sontrust.org/ ust video site 5) Music and to chapter 12, nonlinear ec sontrust.org/ ust video site 5) Music and to Coumenta 010) Documenta 010) Documenta 010) Documenta 010) Documenta 010) Documenta 000 C.O.N.F.L nor Student 3) Documenta 10) Directing bible of pract 0) C.O.N.F.L n: Methuen I t of factual p enzi, S. & Ro ondon: Wallf rs on particip e, documenta (Interactive I interfaces to) New Documenta (Interfaces to) New Documenta	tive and m and in the and in the <i>& videogra</i> in/uwe/perr for Media H research for chnique of usetts and The MTV i diting. video-galle of award d sound in o g the centra ary filmmak entary. Lor wo of the hi- ry, The Ma pters on dif the Docum ical docum I.C.T An H Drama rogramme base, M (Eds lower Press patory docu ary and virt Documenta 016/03/27/ o created m mentary: A	vill up-date ne Module ory and pra- phers. Oxfor nalink/ckey Production. To or documer Film and Vi Oxford: Fou- nfluence or ry.html winning doc documentar ality of sour ing. don: Routle story of doc rgins of Rea ferent aspe documentar ality of sour ing. doc. Routle story of doc rgins of Rea ferent aspe documentar nentary. 5th entary filmn nsider's Gui making in t s.) (2017) Tr s. mentary, al ual reality ary: What do interactive- nulti-linear a <i>Critical Intro</i>	d for public Handbook Handbook Handbook Pord: Focal Pr (<u>A1813039</u> 2nd ed. Oxfor hary deo Editing: cal Press. n editing II, a cumentaries. y film. Londo nd design, a edge Film Gu umentary fill ality. New Yo ects of docum re Them. Ha ry filmmaking ide to Storyt elevision he Evolving gorithmic fill bes it mean a documentary nd/or nested oduction. 2n	ress ord: Focal Press. <i>Theory and Practice</i> nd chapters 30 and . Great for inspiration on: Routledge. mbient sound and m uidebooks. m ork: Wallflower Press nentary rpenden: Kamera Bo g Focal Press. <i>elling in Factual/Rea</i> <i>Practices of Interact</i> mmaking, documenta and why does it matt	e list on e 4 th 31 both n. uusic in s. boks h <i>lity TV</i> <i>ive</i> ary and ter.' <u>-and-</u> edge.	
	Chanan, M. (2010) The Politics of Documentary. 2 nd Ed. London: BFI.							
	Advanced critique of the history of documentary in a political context Chion, M. (1994) <i>Audio-Vision: sound on screen.</i> New York: Columbia University Press							
	Good reader on the relationship of screen based sound and vision de Jong, W. & Knudsen, E (2012) <i>Creative Documentary: Theory and Practice</i> . London:							
	Routledge Good overview	of contempo	rary docum	entary prac	tice			
			si j accun					

Fitzgerald, J. (2012) Filmmaking for Change: Make	e Films That Transform the World, Studio
City, CA: Michael Wiese Productions	
Useful reader on using documentary as a catalyst	for social and political change
Nash, K. (2014) 'An interview with Florian Thalhofe	
In: Nash, K., Hight, C. & Summerhayes, C. (Eds.)	(2014) New Documentary Ecologies.
New York: Springer Nature.	
Thalhofer talks about capturing evidence in a filmic	c way without having to put it into a linear
structure	
Nichols. B. (2010) Introduction to documentary. 2n	d Edition. Bloomington, Indiana: Indiana
University Press.	C
Classical theoretical text on the definition and taxo	nomy of documentary
Quinn, J. (2015) Adventures in the Lives of Others	: Ethical Dilemmas in Factual
Filmmaking. London: IB Tauris	
Examination of the role of ethics in the documenta	rv
Viera, D. & Viera, M. (2004) Lighting for Film and L	,
Cengage Learning.	- · g····· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

First CAP Approval Date 1 F		I Februar	ry 2017		
Revision CAP Approval Date			Version	1	Link to RIA 12105