

ACADEMIC SERVICES

MODULE SPECIFICATION

Part 1: Basic Data							
Module Title	Dissertation						
Module Code	UZWS4W-60-M		Level	M	Ver	sion	2
UWE Credit Rating	60	ECTS Credit Rating	30	WBL modu	le?	No	
Owning Faculty	Health and Applied Sciences Field Acute and Critical Care Adv Nursing				e Adult		
Department	Nursing and Mic	dwifery	Module Type	Project			
Contributes towards	MSc Advanced Practice MSc Specialist Practice MSc Mental Health (Psychosocial Interventions) MSc Medical Ultrasound MSc Nuclear Medicine						
Pre-requisites	60 level 3 credits or equivalent Students must have completed and passed UZWRGQ-20-M - Health and social care research: methods & methodology or equivalent.			None			
Excluded Combinations	None		Module Entry				
First CAP Approval Date	Unknown		requirements Valid from	01/09/02			
Revision CAP Approval Date	03/02/15		Revised with effect from	01/03/15			

Review Date	Please leave
(6 years from full	blank until
CAP approval date	approval is
(not revisions)	obtained.

Part 2: Learning and Teaching				
Learning Outcomes	 On successful completion of this module students will be able to: Produce a comprehensive and critical review of the literature (Component A, Element 1). Demonstrate breadth and depth of understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of an investigation (Component A, Element 1&2). Critically evaluate and explore aspects of the research process including issues of reliability, validity, ethical issues and constraints (Component A, Element 1&2). Evaluate and contribute to theoretical and methodological debate in their 			
	 discipline area (Component A, Element 1). Design and execute a well planned research study based on relevant research 			

	methodology, within a framework of research governance (Component A, Element 1). Mapping of specific learning outcomes for the dissertation will be dependent upon the nature of the study and the methodology employed.							
Syllabus Outline	The cohort meets with the module leader on up to four timetabled workshops (2hours each). One of these workshops will be used pre module start to support students in preparing ethics applications for their projects. The remaining workshops are designed to support students in understanding the nature of individual investigation, the value of using supervision appropriately and academic writing for journals. Students are allocated a dissertation supervisor to: a) confirm aims, questions and proposed research strategy and setting out a programme of work b) demonstrate the ability for independent work and ability to manage the study							
Contact Hours	Stud formation responding entitl meet Furth appli	Students have up to seven hours of workshops. Students are allocated a supervisor who has the main responsibility for co-ordinating formal support, monitoring progress and project supervision. It is the student's responsibility to initiate and maintain contact with the supervisor. The student is entitled to 20 hours supervision; this includes contact time through face-to-face meetings, email or other technology-aided discussion and formative assessment. Further support is available for supporting ethics applications (12 hours for NRES applications and 5 hours for UWE ethics applications.						
Teaching and Learning Methods	Independent learning underpins the teaching and learning strategy on the dissertation module. The student has an identified dissertation supervisor and 20 hours supervision. Supervision includes contact hours with the supervisor and formative feedback on draft work. Dissertation workshops make up to seven hours of scheduled learning.							
Key Information Sets Information			ation Set - Mo					
Sets information								
		Number of	credits for this	s module		600		-
		Hours to	Scheduled	Independent	Placement	Allocated		+
		be allocated	learning and teaching study hours		study hours	Hours		
		600	27	573	0	600	>	
	Writ Cou Prac prac	ten Exam: Ursework: Wetical Exam tical exam se note that essarily reflection module d	Unseen writter dritten assignn : Oral Assess this is the tot ect the comporescription:	n exam, open nent or essay, ment and/or properties and modulent of the module.	book written of report, disserves entation, power of assessule weightings	exam, In-clastation, portforactical skills	ss test lio, project assessme ill not	nt,
			Research portfolio coursework assessment 85%					
		P	resentation			15% 100%	_	
						10070		
			'	'				

Reading Strategy	Core readings Any essential reading will be indicated clearly, along with the method for accessing it, e.g. students may be referred to texts that are available electronically or in the Library. Module guides will also reflect the range of reading to be carried out. Further readings
	Students are expected to identify all other reading relevant to their chosen topic for themselves. They will be required to read widely using the library search, a variety of bibliographic and full text databases, and Internet resources. Many resources can be accessed remotely. The purpose of this further reading is to ensure students are familiar with current research, classic works and material specific to their interests from the academic literature.
	Access and skills The nature of dissertation is independent study in which students will develop their information retrieval and evaluation skills in order to identify such resources effectively. Additional support is available through the Library Services web pages, including interactive tutorials on finding books and journals, evaluating information and referencing. Sign up workshops are also offered by the Library.
Indicative Reading List	The following list is offered to provide validation panels/accrediting bodies with an indication of the type and level of information students may be expected to consult. As such, its currency may wane during the life span of the module specification. However, as indicated above, <i>current</i> advice on readings will be available via other more frequently updated mechanisms.
	Bourgeault, I. Dingwall, R. de Vries, R. (2010) <i>The Sage Handbook of Qualitative Methods in Health Research</i> . London. Thousand Oakes California. New Delhi. Singapore: Sage Publications Ltd.
	Bowling, A. (2009) Research Methods in Health: Investigating Health and Health Services (3rd Edition). Maidenhead. New York: McGraw Hill Oxford University Press
	Gerish, K. and Lacey, A. (2010) <i>The Research Process in Nursing</i> (6th Edition). Chichester. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
	Hicks, C.M. (2009) Research Methods for Clinical Therapists: Applied Project design and Analysis. (5th Edition). Edinburgh. London. New York. Oxford. Philadelphia. St Louis. Sydney. Toronto: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier.
	Hickson, M. (2008) Research Handbook for Healthcare Professionals. Chichester. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
	Maltby, J. Williams, G.A. McGarry, J. and Day, L. (2010) Research Methods for Nursing and Healthcare. Harlow England. London. New York. Boston. San Francisco. Toronto. Sydney. Tokyo. Singapore. Hong Kong. Seoul. Taipei. New Delhi. Cape Town. Madrid. Mexico City. Amsterdam. Munich. Paris. Milan: Pearson Education Ltd. McNamee, M. (2005) Philosophy and the Sciences of Exercise Health and Sport. Critical Perspectives on Research Methods. Abingdon, Oxon. New York: Routledge. Taylor & Francis Inc.
	Plichta, S.B. and Kelvin, E. (2013) <i>Munro's Statistical Methods for Health Care Research</i> (6th Edition). Philadelphia. Baltimore. New York. London. Buenos Aires. Hong Kong. Sydney. Tokyo: Wolters Kluwer Health. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins
	Pope, C. Mays, N and Popay, J (2007) Synthesizing Qualitative and Quantitative Health Evidence. Maidenhead England. Two Penn Plaza New York: Open University Press McGraw-Hill
	Ramlaul, A. (2010) <i>Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Research Skills and Strategies</i> . Edinburgh. London. New York. Oxford. Philadelphia. St Louis. Sydney. Toronto: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier.
	Steen, M. and Roberts, T. (2011) <i>The Handbook of Midwifery Research</i> . Oxford. Chichester. Iowa: Wiley-Blackwell.

Part 3: Assessment				
Assessment Strategy	The summative assessment is work that allows the student to present the design and execution of a primary or secondary research study or service evaluation that they have undertaken. Students will be asked to submit a 'dissertation portfolio' containing the following three elements, which altogether will be a maximum of 10,000 words (excluding reference lists): 1. A journal article prepared for submission to a journal of their choice. The journal article will carry 70% of the marks 2. An explanation and justification of the academic journal the paper is (hypothetically) written for (5% marks) 3. A reflection on their research. This will provide students space to justify their decisions in carrying out the research and in evaluation that might not fit well in the journal article (10% marks). Additionally students will be required to deliver a poster presentation of their research (15%) Formative assessment occurs throughout the module as the student works with the allocated dissertation supervisor.			

Identify final assessment component and element	Component A	Element 1		
% weighting between components A and B (Standard modules only)			B:	
First Sit Component A (controlled conditions)		Element	weighting	
Description of each element			omponent)	
1. Dissertation Portfolio			85%	
2. Presentation up to 10 mins for presentation + 5 minutes for questions			5%	

Resit (further attendance at taught classes is not required)				
Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)			
Resubmission of Dissertation Portfolio	85%			
2.Presentation	15%			

If a student is permitted a retake of the module under the University Regulations and Procedures, the assessment will be that indicated by the Module Description at the time that retake commences.