

ACADEMIC SERVICES

MODULE SPECIFICATION

Part 1: Basic Data						
Module Title	Dissertation					
Module Code	UZWS4W-60-M Level			M	Version	1.3
UWE Credit Rating	60	ECTS Credit Rating	30	WBL modu	le? No	
Owning Faculty	Health and Applied Sciences Field		Field	Acute and Critical Care Adult Nursing		
Department	Nursing and Mid	dwifery	Module Type	Project		
Contributes towards	MSc Advanced Practice MSc Specialist Practice MSc Mental Health (Psychosocial Interventions) MSc Medical Ultrasound MSc Nuclear Medicine					
Pre-requisites	60 level 3 credits or equivalent Students must have completed and passed UZWRGQ-20-M - Health and social care research: methods & methodology or equivalent.			None		
Excluded Combinations	None		Module Entry requirements			
First CAP Approval Date	Unknown		Valid from	01/09/02		
Revision CAP Approval Date	24/03/16		Revised with effect from	01/09/16		

Review Date	01/03/2021		

Part 2: Learning and Teaching				
Learning Outcomes	 Produce a comprehensive and critical review of the literature (Component A Element 1,). Demonstrate breadth and depth of understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of an investigation (Component A, Element 1 and 2). Critically evaluate and explore aspects of the research process including issues of reliability, validity, ethical issues and constraints (Component A Element 1 and 2). Evaluate and contribute to theoretical and methodological debate in their discipline area (Component A, element 1). Design and execute a well planned research study based on relevant research methodology, within a framework of research governance (Component A, element 1). Mapping of specific learning outcomes for the dissertation will be dependent upon the nature of the study and the methodology employed. 			
Syllabus Outline	workshops are available for supporting students in preparing ethics applications for their projects.			

	•	the value	-	understandin ervision appro ournals.	-	of individual	investigatior	١,
Contact Hours	8 hours of workshops and 22 hours of supervision totalling 30 contact hours Students are allocated a supervisor who has the main responsibility for co-ordinating formal support, monitoring progress and project supervision. It is the student's responsibility to initiate and maintain contact with the supervisor. Students undertaking projects requiring NRES applications may require extra support which will be negotiated with the module leader and the supervisor.							
Teaching and Learning Methods	Independent learning underpins the teaching and learning strategy on the dissertation module. The student has an identified dissertation supervisor and supervision includes contact hours with the supervisor and formative feedback on draft work. 8 hours of workshops are available for students							
Key Information	8 1100	IIS OI WOIKSI	Tiops are avai		51115			
Sets Information			Scheduled learning and teaching study hours	Independent study hours	Placement study hours	Allocated Hours		
		600	30	570	0	600		
	Prac Pleas nece	tical Exam: se note that ssarily refle s module de	ct the compo escription:	ation, al of various ty nent and mod	ule weighting			tion
		To	otal assessm	ent of the mod	dule:			
		Re	esearch portfo	olio coursewo	rk assessme	ent 85%		
		Pr	resentation			15%		
						100%	5	
						1007		
Reading Strategy	Any e e.g. s Modu Furth Stude thems biblio acces famili	tudents ma alle guides we her reading ents are exp selves. The graphic and assed remote	y be referred rill also reflect sected to iden y will be requil full text data ely. The purpoent research,	ndicated clear to texts that a the range of r tify all other re ired to read wi bases, and Intose of this furth classic works	re available ereading to be eading relevatidely using the ternet resource for reading is	electronically carried out. Int to their cheelibrary sealces. Many resto ensure st	or in the Lib osen topic forch, a variety sources can udents are	or or of be

	Additional support is available through the Library Services web pages, including interactive tutorials on finding books and journals, evaluating information and referencing. Sign up workshops are also offered by the Library.
Indicative Reading List	The following list is offered to provide validation panels/accrediting bodies with an indication of the type and level of information students may be expected to consult. As such, its currency may wane during the life span of the module specification. However, as indicated above, <i>current</i> advice on readings will be available via other more frequently updated mechanisms.
	Bourgeault, I. Dingwall, R. de Vries, R. (2010) <i>The Sage Handbook of Qualitative Methods in Health Research</i> . London. Thousand Oakes California. New Delhi. Singapore: Sage Publications Ltd.
	Bowling, A. (2009) Research Methods in Health: Investigating Health and Health Services (3rd Edition). Maidenhead. New York: McGraw Hill Oxford University Press
	Gerish, K. and Lacey, A. (2010) <i>The Research Process in Nursing</i> (6th Edition). Chichester. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
	Hicks, C.M. (2009) Research Methods for Clinical Therapists: Applied Project design and Analysis. (5th Edition). Edinburgh. London. New York. Oxford. Philadelphia. St Louis. Sydney. Toronto: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier.
	Hickson, M. (2008) Research Handbook for Healthcare Professionals. Chichester. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
	Maltby, J. Williams, G.A. McGarry, J. and Day, L. (2010) Research Methods for Nursing and Healthcare. Harlow England. London. New York. Boston. San Francisco. Toronto. Sydney. Tokyo. Singapore. Hong Kong. Seoul. Taipei. New Delhi. Cape Town. Madrid. Mexico City. Amsterdam. Munich. Paris. Milan: Pearson Education Ltd.
	McNamee, M. (2005) Philosophy and the Sciences of Exercise Health and Sport. Critical Perspectives on Research Methods. Abingdon, Oxon. New York: Routledge. Taylor & Francis Inc.
	Plichta, S.B. and Kelvin, E. (2013) <i>Munro's Statistical Methods for Health Care Research</i> (6th Edition). Philadelphia. Baltimore. New York. London. Buenos Aires. Hong Kong. Sydney. Tokyo: Wolters Kluwer Health. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins
	Pope, C. Mays, N and Popay, J (2007) Synthesizing Qualitative and Quantitative Health Evidence. Maidenhead England. Two Penn Plaza New York: Open University Press McGraw-Hill
	Ramlaul, A. (2010) <i>Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Research Skills and Strategies</i> . Edinburgh. London. New York. Oxford. Philadelphia. St Louis. Sydney. Toronto: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier.

Part 3: Assessment				
Assessment Strategy	The assessment strategy for this module is in the form of a portfolio of course work.			
	The summative assessment is work that allows the student to present the design and execution of a primary or secondary research and study or service evaluation that they have undertaken. The dissertation portfolio should not exceed a maximum of 10,000 words (excluding reference lists) and consists of:			
	A journal article prepared for submission to a journal of their choice (70%). An explanation and justification of the academic journal the paper is			

Steen, M. and Roberts, T. (2011) *The Handbook of Midwifery Research*. Oxford. Chichester. Iowa: Wiley-Blackwell.

(hypothetically) written for (5%)
3. A reflection on their research. This will provide students space to justify their decisions in carrying out the research and in evaluation that might not fit well in the journal article (10%).
Formative assessment occurs throughout the module as the student works with the allocated dissertation supervisor.
Additionally students will be required to deliver a poster presentation of their research (15%)
Formative assessment occurs throughout the module as the student works with the allocated dissertation supervisor.

Identify final assessment component and element	Component A e	lement 1	
% weighting between components A and B (Star	ndard modules only)	A:	B:
First Sit Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element			weighting
Dissertation Portfolio		85	
2. Presentation up to 10 mins for presentation + 5 m	ninutes for questions	15%	

Resit (further attendance at taught classes is not required)	
Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element	Element weighting (as % of component)
Resubmission of Dissertation Portfolio	85%
2.Presentation	15%

If a student is permitted a retake of the module under the University Regulations and Procedures, the assessment will be that indicated by the Module Description at the time that retake commences.