



CORPORATE AND ACADEMIC SERVICES

MODULE SPECIFICATION

Part 1: Basic Data					
Module Title	Researching Education				
Module Code	UTLGBH-30-M	Level	M	Version	5.1
UWE Credit Rating	30	ECTS Credit Rating	15	WBL module?	Yes
Owning Faculty	ACE	Field	Secondary and Lifelong Learning		
Department	Education	Module Type	Standard		
Contributes towards	MA Education; MA Education (Early Years) PG Dip Education; PG Dip Education (Early Years) PG Cert Education; PG Cert Education (Early Years) Professional Doctorate (Education)				
Pre-requisites	None	Co- requisites	None		
Excluded Combinations	None	Module Entry requirements	None		
Valid From	Oct 2014	Valid to			

CAP Approval Date	Nov 2015
--------------------------	----------

Part 2: Learning and Teaching	
Learning Outcomes	<p>On successful completion of this module students will be able to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Understand that there are different ways of knowing and portraying educational and professional practices (Component B) 2. Understand that there are different sources of professional knowledge (Component B) 3. Articulate their understanding of ethical issues in relation to the educational research process as they apply to their own professional concerns and those of their respondents (Component A) 4. Take a critical view of how educational research serves professional policy and practice including an understanding of different claims to validity and the appropriate appeal to evidence (Component B) 5. Design a educational research based study or enquiry with appropriate attention to methodological rigour (Component B) 6. Have a critically informed understanding of methods of data collection and analysis 7. Begin to situate their own educational research interests within an appropriate body of literature and theoretical framework (Component B) 8. Be able to articulate the relationship between educational research and professional practice and to argue the professional relevance of their proposal (Component B) 9. Locate, use effectively and evaluate the full range of learning resources, including ICT, applying a critical and confident approach

	<p>10. Interpret, organise and present ideas, concepts and numerical information using a variety of presentational modes (Components A & B)</p> <p>11. Take independent and self critical responsibility for own work, guiding the learning of others and managing their own requirements for continuing professional development</p> <p>12. Engage confidently in academic and professional communications with others, reporting on action clearly, autonomously & competently including</p>
Syllabus Outline	<p>The module content will include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • how approaches to educational research vary according to purposes; • how educational research supports professional enquiry and development; • practitioner and action research; • ethnographic and case study approaches to understanding educational and professional action; • conducting interviews; • systematic observation; • using questionnaires and surveys; • issues concerning the use of quantitative methods; • processes of analysis and presentation of argument; • ethical issues and concerns around access; • developing a theoretical framework and using literature. <p>In addition, advice and guidance will be given on designing a research proposal (including: focusing the study; adopting appropriate methods; issues of access and ethics; gathering, storing and analysis of data; conducting a literature search; sampling; triangulation).</p>
Contact Hours	
Teaching and Learning Methods	<p>A mixture of tutor presentations and group discussion, with guided study tasks and structured discussion activity drawing upon set reading and participants experience, needs and contexts.</p> <p>Scheduled learning includes lectures, seminars, tutorials, and supervision workshops</p> <p>Independent learning includes hours engaged with essential reading, proposal preparation and completion etc.</p>
Key Information Sets Information	N/A for M Level
Reading Strategy	<p>All students will be encouraged to make full use of the print and electronic resources available to them through membership of the University. These include a range of electronic journals and a wide variety of resources available through web sites and information gateways. The University Library's web pages provide access to subject relevant resources and services, and to the library catalogue. Many resources can be accessed remotely. Students will be presented with opportunities within the curriculum to develop their information retrieval and evaluation skills in order to identify such resources effectively.</p> <p>Any essential reading will be indicated clearly, along with the method for accessing it, e.g. students may be expected to purchase a set text, be given or sold a print study pack or be referred to texts that are available electronically, etc. This guidance will be available either in the module handbook, via the module information on UWEonline or through any other vehicle deemed appropriate by the module/programme leaders.</p> <p>If further reading is expected, this will be indicated clearly. If specific texts are listed, a clear indication will be given regarding how to access them and, if appropriate,</p>

	students will be given guidance on how to identify relevant sources for themselves, e.g. through use of bibliographical databases.
Indicative Reading List	<p>Arthur, J., Waring, M., Coe, R., & Hedges, L.V. (2012) <i>Research Methods and Methodologies in Education</i>. London: Sage</p> <p>Booth, A., Papaioannou, D. and Sutton, A. (2012) <i>Systematic approaches to a successful literature review</i>. London: Sage.</p> <p>Bridges, D., and Mcnamee, (eds.), (2002), <i>Ethics and Educational Research</i>, London: Blackwell.</p> <p>British Educational Research Association (BERA) (2011) <i>Ethical Guidelines for Educational Research</i>, London: BERA</p> <p>Bryman, A. (2012) <i>Social Research Methods (4e)</i>, Oxford: Oxford University Press</p> <p>Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2003), <i>Research Methods in Education</i> London: Routledge Falmer</p> <p>Creswell, J. W. (2014) <i>Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches</i>. (4th ed). London: Sage</p> <p>Denzin, K. and Lincoln, N. (2011) <i>The Sage handbook of qualitative research (4e)</i>, London: Sage.</p> <p>Dockett, S., Einarsdóttir, J. and Perry, P. (2011) Balancing Methodologies and Methods. In: Harcourt, D., Perry B. and Waller, T., eds. (2011) <i>Researching Young Children's Perspectives: Debating the Ethics and Dilemmas of Educational Research with Children</i>. Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 68-82.</p> <p>Gray, D. (2009) <i>Doing Research in the Real World (2e)</i> London: Sage Publications</p> <p>Gorard, S. and Taylor, C. (2004) <i>Combining Methods in Educational Research (Conducting Educational Research)</i> Maidenhead; McGraw-Hill/Open University Press</p> <p>Hart, C. (2001) <i>Doing a Literature Search</i> London: Sage Publications</p> <p>Hart, C. (2009) <i>Doing a Literature Review (2e)</i> London: Sage Publications</p> <p>Hopkins, D., (2003) <i>A Teacher's Guide to Classroom Research</i> Maidenhead: OU Press</p> <p>Jackson, A. and Mazzei, L. (2012) <i>Thinking with Theory in Qualitative Research</i> London: Routledge</p> <p>James, D. and Biesta, G. (2007) <i>Improving learning cultures in further education</i>. London: Routledge.</p> <p>Kemmis, S., McTaggart, R., & Nixon, R. (2013) <i>The Action Research Planner: Doing Critical Participatory Action Research</i> Springer</p> <p>McAteer, M. (2013) <i>Action Research in Education</i>. London: BERA/Sage.</p> <p>Silverman, D. (2013) <i>Doing Qualitative Research: A practical handbook (4e)</i> London: Sage</p> <p>Yates, L. (2004) <i>What Does Good Education Research Look Like?</i> Maidenhead: Open University Press.</p>

Assessment Strategy	<p>The assessment strategy for this module is designed to support students' developing knowledge and understanding in the area of researching education and writing a research proposal. Formative feedback on students' progress will be provided during face to face meetings, through on line correspondence and during seminars.</p> <p>Criteria for Assessment</p> <p>ALM: Conceptual Domain (Core): The assignment demonstrates that the student can organise and use coherently relevant ideas, perspectives or theories to interpret and/or explore issues under study and in addition can critically analyse and/or evaluate those ideas, perspectives or theories showing an ability to transform ideas in the process of developing an argument.</p> <p>BLM: Literature Domain: The assignment demonstrates that the student can reference an extensive range of relevant literature and utilise it in the development of analysis and discussion of ideas, including critical engagement with that literature.</p> <p>CLM: Contextual Domain: The assignment demonstrates that the student has an awareness of the significance of relevant contextual factors (e.g. personal, locational, historical, political, etc.) influencing the area of study and is able to critically engage with the contextual significance.</p> <p>DLM: Research Domain: The assignment demonstrates that the student can plan for (and execute) a small scale enquiry in a systematic and reflexive manner identifying and explaining epistemological issues around the research process and critically analysing and evaluating research outcomes.</p> <p>ELM: Ethical Domain: The assignment demonstrates that the student has an awareness of ethical issues arising in or associated with the area of study, showing sensitive engagement with an appropriate ethical framework for interpretation of ideas or for practice. In addition there is exploration of some of the problematics arising in relation to ethical dilemmas or decisions.</p>
---------------------	---

Identify final assessment component and element	Component B, Element 2	
% weighting between components A and B (Standard modules only)	A: 25%	B: 75%
First Sit		
Component A (controlled conditions) Description of each element	Element weighting	
Presentation of an A3 poster in which students compare and contrast the ethical issues arising from 2 different research methodologies or methods. ALM (Core), ELM	100%	
Component B Description of each element	Element weighting	
1. Compilation of a portfolio of directed and negotiated tasks throughout the module amounting to approximately 2,000 words. ALM (Core), BLM, CLM, GLM	50%	
2. A research proposal of 2000 words.	50%	

ALM (Core), BLM, CLM, DLM, ELM	
--------------------------------	--

Resit (further attendance at taught classes is not required)	
---	--

Component A (controlled conditions)	Element weighting
Description of each element	

Presentation of an A3 poster in which students compare and contrast the ethical issues arising from 2 different research methodologies or methods. ALM (Core), ELM	100%
---	------

Component B	Element weighting
Description of each element	

1. Compilation of a portfolio of directed and negotiated tasks throughout the module amounting to approximately 2,000 words. ALM (Core), BLM, CLM, GLM	50%
---	-----

2. A research proposal of 2000 words. ALM (Core), BLM, CLM, DLM, ELM	50%
---	-----

If a student is permitted a retake of the module under the University Regulations and Procedures, the assessment will be that indicated by the Module Description at the time that retake commences.
--